

The following table provides a proposed range of tag numbers for each zone from which a final number of tags will be determined:

Deer: § 360(b) X-Zone Hunts			
Tag Allocations			
§	Zone	Current 2016	Proposed 2017 [Range]
(1)	X-1	760	0 - 6,000
(2)	X-2	175	0 - 500
(3)	X-3a	355	0 - 1,200
(4)	X-3b	795	0 - 3,000
(5)	X-4	460	0 - 1,200
(6)	X-5a	75	0 - 200
(7)	X-5b	50	0 - 500
(8)	X-6a	330	0 - 1,200
(9)	X-6b	310	0 - 1,200
(10)	X-7a	230	0 - 500
(11)	X-7b	135	0 - 200
(12)	X-8	210	0 - 750
(13)	X-9a	650	0 - 1,200
(14)	X-9b	325	0 - 600
(15)	X-9c	325	0 - 600
(16)	X-10	400	0 - 600
(17)	X-12	680	0 - 1,200

The actual tag numbers for each affected zone will be reflected in the Final Statement of Reasons and will be selected from the range of values provided by this proposal. The number of tags is intended to allow the appropriate level of hunting opportunity and harvest of bucks and does in the population, while achieving or maintaining the buck to doe ratios at, or near, objective levels set forth in the approved deer herd management plans. These final values for the license tag numbers will be based upon findings from the annual harvest and herd composition counts. However, under circumstances where various environmental factors such as severe winter conditions can adversely affect herd recruitment and over-winter adult survival, final tag quotas may fall below the “Low Kill” alternative identified in the most recent Environmental Document Regarding Deer Hunting.

(b) Authority and Reference Sections from Fish and Game Code for Regulation:

Authority: Sections 200, 202, 203, 220, 460, 3051, 3452, 3453, 3953 and 4334, Fish and Game Code.

Reference: Sections 200, 202, 203, 203.1, 207, 458, 459, 460, 3051, 3452, 3453, 3953 and 4334, Fish and Game Code.

(c) Specific Technology or Equipment Required by Regulatory Change:

None

(d) Identification of Reports or Documents Supporting Regulation Change:

2007 Final Environmental Document Regarding Deer Hunting

(e) Public Discussions of Proposed Regulations Prior to Notice Publication:

Fish and Game Commission Wildlife Resources Committee meeting held in Woodland on September 21, 2016.

IV. Description of Reasonable Alternatives to Regulatory Action:

(a) Alternatives to Regulation Change:

1. Allocate 40 X3b tags exclusively for apprentice hunters

The proposal is to reallocate 40 tags from the total number allocated for hunt zone X3b and reserve them solely for apprentice hunters. This proposal would reduce the number of tags available to non-apprentice hunters and would increase the number of points necessary for other hunters to draw this tag. In order to avoid unnecessarily reducing hunter opportunity for non-apprentice hunters, additional analysis and discussion amongst affected parties is required. Therefore, this alternative is rejected until the analysis of hunter recruitment/retention data is concluded and potential actions are discussed in a public forum.

(b) No Change Alternative:

The "No Change Alternative" was considered and found inadequate to attain the project objectives. Retaining the current number of tags for the zones listed may not be responsive to changes in the status of the herds. The deer herd management plans specify objective levels for the proportion of bucks in the herds. These ratios are maintained and managed in part by modifying the number of hunting tags. The "No Change Alternative" would not allow management of the desired proportion of bucks stated in the approved deer herd management plans.

(c) Consideration of Alternatives:

In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the regulation is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed regulation, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

(d) Description of Reasonable Alternatives That Would Lessen Adverse Impact on Small Business: None.

V. Mitigation Measures Required by Regulatory Action:

The proposed regulatory action will have no negative impact on the environment; therefore, no mitigation measures are needed. The maximum number of tags available in the newly proposed range is at or below the number of tags analyzed in the most recent Final Environmental Document regarding Deer Hunting and the approved deer herd management plans.

VI. Impact of Regulatory Action:

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made.

(a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Businesses, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed action adjusts tag quotas for existing deer hunts. Given the number of tags available and the area over which they are distributed, these proposals are economically neutral to business.

(b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment:

The proposed action will not have significant impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs or the creation of new businesses or the elimination of existing businesses within California because it is unlikely to result in a change in hunting effort. The proposed action does not provide benefits to worker safety because it does not address working conditions.

The Commission anticipates benefits to the health and welfare of California residents. Hunting provides opportunities for multi-generational family activities and promotes respect for California's environment by the future stewards of the State's resources. The Commission anticipates benefits to the State's environment in the sustainable management of natural resources.

(c) Cost Impacts on Private Persons:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with this proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State: None

(e) Other Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies: None

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts: None

(g) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4: None

(h) Effect on Housing Costs: None

VII. Economic Impact Assessment:

The proposed action will have no statewide economic or fiscal impact because the proposed action is unlikely to constitute a significant change from the 2016 deer season in the X zones. The number of tags to be set in regulation for 2017 is intended to achieve or maintain the levels set forth in the approved deer herd management plans to preserve herd health and hunting opportunities in subsequent seasons.

(a) Effects of the regulation on the creation or elimination of jobs within the State:

The regulation will not affect the creation or elimination of jobs because no significant changes in hunting activity levels are anticipated.

(b) Effects of the regulation on the creation of new businesses or the elimination of existing businesses within the State:

The regulation will not impact the creation of new businesses or the elimination of businesses because no significant changes in hunting activity levels are anticipated.

- (c) Effects of the regulation on the expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State

The regulation will not affect the expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State because no significant changes in hunting activity levels are anticipated.

- (d) Benefits of the regulation to the health and welfare of California residents:

The proposed regulation will benefit the health and welfare of California residents by maintaining healthy deer herds and providing opportunities for the public to participate in a healthy outdoor activity.

- (e) Benefits of the regulation to worker safety.

The proposed regulation will not affect worker safety.

- (f) Benefits of the regulation to the State's environment

It is the policy of the State to encourage the conservation, maintenance, and utilization of the State's living resources. The proposed action will further this core objective.

INFORMATIVE DIGEST
(Policy Statement Overview)

Existing regulations provide for the number of deer hunting tags for the X zones. The proposed action changes the number of tags for all existing zones to a series of ranges presented in the table below. These ranges are necessary at this time because the final number of tags cannot be determined until spring herd data are collected in March/April. Because various environmental factors such as severe winter conditions can adversely affect herd recruitment and over-winter adult survival, the final recommended quotas may fall below the current proposed range into the “Low Kill” alternative identified in the most recent Environmental Document Regarding Deer Hunting.

Deer: § 360(b) X-Zone Hunts			
Tag Allocations			
§	Zone	Current 2016	Proposed 2017 [Range]
(1)	X-1	760	0 - 6,000
(2)	X-2	175	0 - 500
(3)	X-3a	355	0 - 1,200
(4)	X-3b	795	0 - 3,000
(5)	X-4	460	0 - 1,200
(6)	X-5a	75	0 - 200
(7)	X-5b	50	0 - 500
(8)	X-6a	330	0 - 1,200
(9)	X-6b	310	0 - 1,200
(10)	X-7a	230	0 - 500
(11)	X-7b	135	0 - 200
(12)	X-8	210	0 - 750
(13)	X-9a	650	0 - 1,200
(14)	X-9b	325	0 - 600
(15)	X-9c	325	0 - 600
(16)	X-10	400	0 - 600
(17)	X-12	680	0 - 1,200

Benefits of the regulations

The deer herd management plans specify objective levels for the proportion of bucks in the herds. These ratios are maintained and managed in part by annually modifying the

number of hunting tags. The final values for the license tag numbers will be based upon findings from the annual harvest and herd composition counts. Adjusting tag allocations in response to current deer herd conditions contributes to the sustainable management of healthy deer populations and the maintenance of continued hunting opportunities.

Non-monetary benefits to the public

The Commission does not anticipate non-monetary benefits to the protection of public health and safety, worker safety, the prevention of discrimination, the promotion of fairness or social equity and the increase in openness and transparency in business and government.

Consistency and Compatibility with State Regulations

The Fish and Game Commission, pursuant to Fish and Game Code Sections 200, 202 and 203, has the sole authority to regulate deer hunting in California. Commission staff has searched the California Code of Regulations and has found the proposed changes pertaining to deer tag allocations are consistent with Sections 361, 701, 702, 708.5 and 708.6 of Title 14. Therefore the Commission has determined that the proposed amendments are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing State regulations.