

TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission
Notice of Proposed Emergency Changes in Regulations

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 202, 205, 210, 220, 240, 5521 and 7149.8 of the Fish and Game Code (FGC) and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 200, 202, 205, 220, 5521, 7145 and 7149.8 of said Code, proposes to amend Section 29.15, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), relating to the recreational take of abalone.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Existing Laws and Regulations directly related to the proposed action

Under existing regulations (Section 29.15, Title 14, CCR), red abalone may only be taken for recreational purposes north of a line drawn due west magnetic from the center of the mouth of San Francisco Bay. Current regulations also specify: season, hours, daily limits, special gear provisions, measuring devices, abalone report card requirements, and sizes. There are no existing comparable federal regulations or statutes.

Effect of the Regulatory Action

The proposed emergency regulations will prohibit the take of abalone along the coast of Sonoma County.

Policy Statement Overview

The Department of Fish and Game (DFG) has confirmed a significant die-off of red abalone along the coast of Sonoma County. The cause has been determined to be an unusual red-tide event that occurred during late August and early September, 2011, although the specific mechanism that is responsible for the abalone mortality is still under investigation. Fishery regulations currently in place were not designed to provide conservation safeguards for this unexpectedly large increase in natural mortality. Furthermore, surviving abalone may have an intrinsic resistance to the underlying cause of this mortality, and it is therefore necessary to provide additional protection at this time so that the surviving animals will have an increased opportunity to reproduce and rebuild the population with potentially resistant offspring. Consequently, the Commission determined that abalone fishing must be closed along Sonoma County to protect the abalone resource.

Section 240 Finding

Pursuant to the authority vested in it by FGC Section 240 and for the reasons set forth in the attached "Statement of Emergency Action," the Commission expressly finds that the adoption of this regulation is necessary for the immediate conservation, preservation, or protection of fish and wildlife resources. The Commission specifically finds that the adoption of this regulation is necessary for the immediate conservation, preservation, or protection of the abalone resource.

Public Comments on Proposed Emergency Regulations

Government Code section 11346.1(a)(2) requires that, at least five working days prior to submission of the proposed emergency action to the Office of Administrative Law, the adopting agency provide a notice of the proposed emergency action to every person who has filed a request for notice of regulatory action with the agency. After submission of the proposed

emergency to the Office of Administrative Law, the Office of Administrative Law shall allow interested persons five calendar days to submit comments on the proposed emergency regulations as set forth in Government Code section 11349.6.

In order to be considered, public comments on proposed emergency regulations must be submitted in writing to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL), 300 Capitol Mall, Room 1250, Sacramento, CA 95814; AND to the Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1320, Sacramento, CA 95814, or via fax to (916) 653-5040 or via e-mail to fgc@fgc.ca.gov. Comments must identify the emergency topic and may address the finding of emergency, the standards set forth in sections 11346.1 and 11349.1 of the Government Code and Section 240 of the Fish and Game Code. Comments must be received within five calendar days of filing of the emergency regulations. Please refer to OAL's website (www.oal.ca.gov) to determine the date on which the regulations are filed with OAL.

Impact of Regulatory Action

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the emergency regulatory action has been assessed, and the following determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

The Commission has determined that the amendment of Section 29.15, Title 14, of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), as an emergency regulation will not result in costs or savings in federal funding to the State.

(b) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

The Commission has determined that amendment of Section 29.15, Title 14, CCR, as an emergency regulation will not result in any costs or savings to local agencies.

(c) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

The Commission has determined that the amendment of Section 29.15, Title 14, CCR, as an emergency regulation does not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

(d) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code; and

(e) Effect on Housing Costs:

The Commission has determined that the amendment of Section 29.15, Title 14, CCR as an emergency regulation will not result in any cost to any local agency or school district for which Government Code sections 17500 through 17630 require reimbursement and will not affect housing costs.

(f) Costs or Savings to State Agencies

The Commission has determined that amendment of Section 29.15, Title 14, CCR as an emergency regulation will not change any cost or savings to state agencies.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purposes for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Sonke Mastrup
Executive Director

Dated: September 20, 2011