

**TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission
Notice of Emergency Changes in Regulations**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), at its October 1, 2009 meeting, pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 202, 240, and 2084 of the Fish and Game Code (FGC) and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 200, 202, 240, 2080, 2084, and 2085 of said Code, readopted Section 749.5, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), relating to incidental take of Pacific fisher during candidacy period.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

The sections below describe laws relating to listing species under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), the effect of this emergency regulation, a description of related federal law, and a policy statement overview.

A. Laws Related to the Emergency Regulation - Listing under CESA

1. Petition and Acceptance

FGC Section 2070 requires the Commission to establish a list of endangered species and a list of threatened species. Any interested person may petition the Commission to add a species to the endangered or threatened list by following the requirements in FGC Sections 2072 and 2072.3. If a petition is not factually incomplete and is on the appropriate form, it is forwarded to the Department of Fish and Game (Department) for evaluation.

FGC Section 2073.5 sets out the process for accepting for further consideration or rejecting a petition to list a species and, if the petition is accepted, a process for actually determining whether listing of the species as threatened or endangered is ultimately warranted. The first step toward petition acceptance involves a 90-day review of the petition by the Department to determine whether the petition contains sufficient information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted. The Department prepares a report to the Commission that recommends rejection or acceptance of the petition based on its evaluation.

FGC Section 2074.2 provides that, if the Commission finds that the petition provides sufficient information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted, the petition is accepted for consideration and the species that is the subject of the petition becomes a "candidate species" under CESA. CESA prohibits unauthorized take of a candidate species. FGC Section 86 states "take" means to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill. Killing of a candidate, threatened, or endangered species under CESA that is incidental to an otherwise lawful activity and not the primary purpose of the activity constitutes take under state law. (*Department of Fish and Game v. Anderson-Cottonwood Irrigation District* (1992) 8 Cal.App.4th 1554; see also *Environmental Protection and Information Center v. California Dept. of Forestry and Fire Protection* (2008) 44 Cal.4th 459, 507 (in the context of an incidental take permit issued by the Department under CESA, the California Supreme Court stated, "'take' in this context means to catch, capture or kill".))

CESA's take prohibition applies to candidate species pursuant to FGC Section 2085 upon public notice by the Commission of its finding that sufficient information exists to indicate the petitioned action may be warranted. Upon publication of such notice in the California

Regulatory Notice Register, take of candidate species is prohibited absent authorization as provided in the FGC. Following such notice, all activities, whether new or ongoing, that cause incidental take of the candidate species are in violation of CESA unless the take is authorized in regulations adopted by the Commission pursuant to FGC section 2084 or the Department authorizes the take through the issuance of an incidental take permit (ITP) or other means available under CESA.

2. Status Review and Final Action on the Petition

The Commission's acceptance of a petition initiates a 12-month review of the species' status by the Department, pursuant to FGC Section 2074.6. This status review helps to determine whether the species should be listed as threatened or endangered. Unlike the Department's initial evaluation, which focuses largely on the sufficiency of information submitted in the petition, the 12-month status review involves a broader inquiry into and evaluation of available information from other sources. The Commission is required to solicit data and comments on the proposed listing soon after the petition is accepted, and the Department's written status report must be based upon the best scientific information available.

Within 12 months of the petition's acceptance, the Department must provide the Commission a written report that indicates whether the petitioned action is warranted. (FGC Section 2074.) The Commission must schedule the petition for final consideration at its next available meeting after receiving the Department's report. (FGC Section 2075.) In its final action on the petition, the Commission is required to decide whether listing the species as threatened or endangered "is warranted" or "is not warranted." If listing is not warranted in the Commission's judgment, take of the former candidate species is no longer prohibited under CESA. (FGC Section 2075.5.)

B. Effect of the Emergency Action

Section 749.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations would authorize and provide for take of Pacific fisher during its candidacy subject to the following terms and conditions:

(a) Take Authorization

The Commission authorizes take of Pacific fisher during the candidacy period subject to the terms and conditions herein.

(1) Forest Practices and Timber Harvest.

Incidental take of Pacific fisher is authorized for otherwise lawful timber operations. For purposes of this authorization, an otherwise lawful timber operation shall mean a timber operation authorized or otherwise permitted by the Z'Berg Nejedly Forest Practice Act (Public Resources Code, Section 4511 et seq.), the Forest Practice Rules of the Board of Forestry, which are found in Chapters 4, 4.5, and 10, of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, or other applicable law. The Z'Berg Nejedly Forest Practice Act and Forest Practice Rules can be found at the following website: http://www.fire.ca.gov/resource_mgt/resource_mgt_forestpractice.php.

(2) Vegetation Management to Prevent or Reduce Wildland Fire.

Incidental take of Pacific fisher is authorized for otherwise lawful vegetation or fuels management activities necessary to reduce hazardous fuels and prevent or reduce the risk of catastrophic wildland fires. For purposes of this authorization, an otherwise

lawful vegetation or fuels management activity shall mean an activity to reduce hazardous fuels and prevent or reduce the risk of catastrophic wildland fires authorized or otherwise permitted by the Z'berg Nejedly Forest Practice Act (Public Resources Code, Section 4511 et seq.), the Forest Practice Rules of the Board of Forestry, which are found in Chapters 4, 4.5, and 10, of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations, the Wildland Fire Protection and Resources Management Act of 1978 (Public Resources Code, Section 4461-4480), the California Forest Improvement Act of 1978 (Public Resources Code, Section 4790 et seq.), the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code), or other applicable law.

(3) Wildland Fire and Fire Response.

Take of Pacific fisher incidental to otherwise lawful wildland fire response and suppression activities is authorized.

(4) Management, Monitoring, and Research Activities.

Incidental take of Pacific fisher is authorized relative to Department approved or supported survey, monitoring, research, and translocation plans. Department approvals of management, monitoring, and research activities may be provided pursuant to a memorandum of understanding, a scientific collecting permit, or other Department authorization provided by law.

(b) Application of the California Environmental Quality Act.

Consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code), if a state or local agency determines that an activity identified in subdivision (a) will result in a significant impact on Pacific fisher, the agency should not approve the activity as proposed if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen the significant impact on Pacific fisher. (See Public Resources Code, Section 21002.) Measures or project changes required as part of a state or local agency authorization to address significant impacts on Pacific fisher may include measures to conserve the species, including avoidance or preservation of habitat attributes relied on by the species. Voluntary measures to aid in the conservation of Pacific fisher shall also be encouraged.

(c) Reporting.

Any person, individual, organization, or public agency for which incidental take of Pacific fisher is authorized pursuant to subdivision (a), shall report observations and detections of Pacific fisher, including take, to the Department of Fish and Game on a semi-annual basis during the candidacy period. Observations, detections, and take of Pacific fisher pursuant to this subdivision for the previous six months shall be reported by the first day of March and the first day of September, respectively, during the candidacy period for Pacific fisher. Observations, detections, and take shall be reported pursuant to this subdivision to the Department of Fish and Game, Wildlife Branch, Attn: Fisher Observations, 1812 Ninth St., Sacramento, CA 95814, or by email submission to fisherdata@dfg.ca.gov. Information reported to the Department pursuant to this subdivision shall include as available: a contact name; the date and location (GPS coordinate preferred) of the observation, detection, or take; and details regarding the animal(s) observed.

(d) Additions, Modification, or Revocation.

(1) Incidental take of Pacific fisher from activities not addressed in this section may be authorized during the candidacy period by the Commission pursuant to Fish and Game Code Section 2084, or by the Department on a case-by-case basis pursuant to Fish and Game Code Section 2081, or other authority provided by law.

(2) The Commission may modify or repeal this regulation in whole or in part, pursuant to law, if it determines that any activity or project may cause jeopardy to the continued

existence of Pacific fisher.

C. Existing, Comparable Federal Regulations or Statutes

The Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA) (16 U.S.C. Section 1531 et seq.) includes a listing process that is comparable to the listing process under CESA, except that take of a candidate species is not prohibited under FESA. Pacific fisher is not listed as an endangered or threatened species under FESA, although the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) made a determination in April 2004 that, while listing was warranted, designating the species as threatened or endangered under FESA was precluded by other listing priorities. (69 Fed.Reg. 18770.) Pacific fisher remains a candidate under FESA based on the Service's "warranted but precluded" finding and take of the species under FESA is not currently prohibited.

FESA Section 4(d) (16 U.S.C. Section 1533 (d)) is similar in some respects to FGC Section 2084. Section 4(d) authorizes the Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) to issue protective regulations prohibiting the take of species listed as threatened. These regulations, also called "4(d) rules," may include any or all of the prohibitions that apply to protect endangered species and may include exceptions to those prohibitions. The 4(d) rules give the Service and NMFS the ability to craft comprehensive regulations to apply to particular activities that may result in take of a threatened species in a manner similar to the Commission's authority to prescribe terms and conditions pursuant to FGC Section 2084 during the species' candidacy period. Here, no 4(d) rules have been promulgated, because the "warranted but precluded" finding by the Service did not yet effectuate the designation of Pacific fisher as a federally listed threatened or endangered species.

D. Policy Statement Overview

The objective of this emergency regulation is to allow specified activities to continue on an interim basis, subject to the measures in the regulation designed to protect Pacific fisher, pending final action by the Commission under CESA related to the proposed listing. The Department's evaluation of the species during the candidacy period will result in the status report described in Section VI.A.2 of the attached "Statement of Facts Constituting Need for Emergency Action." The status report provides the basis for the Department's recommendation to the Commission before the Commission takes final action on the petition and decides whether the petitioned action is or is not warranted.

The regulations in ~~strikeout~~-underline format are attached to this notice. Notice of the action shall be posted on the Fish and Game Commission website at <http://www.fgc.ca.gov>.

Section 240 Finding

Pursuant to the authority vested in it by FGC Section 240 and for the reasons set forth in the attached "Statement of Facts Constituting Need for Emergency Action," the Commission expressly finds that the adoption of this regulation is necessary for the immediate preservation of the general welfare. The Commission specifically finds that the adoption of this regulation will allow activities that may affect Pacific fisher to continue during the candidacy period as long as those activities are conducted in a manner consistent with the protections specified in this regulation.

Public Comments on Proposed Emergency Regulations

The Commission readopted this emergency regulation at its October 1, 2009 meeting. It is anticipated that the emergency regulation will be filed with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on or about October 12, 2009.

Government Code section 11346.1(a)(2) requires that, at least five working days prior to submission of the proposed emergency action to the OAL, the adopting agency provide a notice of the proposed emergency action to every person who has filed a request for notice of regulatory action with the agency. After submission of the proposed emergency to OAL, OAL shall allow interested persons five calendar days to submit comments on the proposed emergency regulations as set forth in Government Code section 11349.6.

In order to be considered, public comments on proposed emergency regulations must be submitted in writing to the Office of Administrative Law, 300 Capitol Mall, Room 1250, Sacramento, CA 95814; AND to the Fish and Game Commission, 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1320, Sacramento, CA 95814, or via fax to (916) 653-5040 or via e-mail to fgc@fgc.ca.gov. Comments must identify the emergency topic and may address the finding of emergency, the standards set forth in sections 11346.1 and 11349.1 of the Government Code and Section 240 of the Fish and Game Code. Comments must be received within five calendar days of filing of the emergency regulations. Please refer to OAL's website (www.oal.ca.gov) to determine the date on which the regulations are filed with OAL.

Impact of Regulatory Action

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the emergency regulatory action has been assessed, and the following determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

The Commission has determined that the adoption of Section 749.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations as an emergency regulation pursuant to FGC Section 2084 will not result in costs or savings in federal funding to the State.

(b) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

The Commission has determined that adoption of Section 749.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations as an emergency regulation pursuant to FGC section 2084 will likely provide cost savings to local agencies in an undetermined amount. In the absence of the emergency regulation, the Department would have to authorize take of Pacific fisher on a project-by-project basis, which is both time-consuming and costly to local agencies seeking take authorization. Without this emergency regulation, many routine and ongoing otherwise lawful timber operations on land already managed for timber harvest would be delayed or cancelled entirely, as would vegetation management, wildfire suppression and response, and research and monitoring while awaiting the necessary CESA authorization. These delays and cancellations would cause great economic harm to persons already lawfully engaged in such activities, their employees, their local communities, and the State of California.

(c) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

The Commission has determined that the adoption of Section 749.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations as an emergency regulation does not impose a mandate on local agencies or school districts.

(d) Costs Imposed on Any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code; and

(e) Effect on Housing Costs:

The Commission has determined that the adoption of Section 749.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations as an emergency regulation will not result in any cost to any local agency or school district for which Government Code sections 17500 through 17630 require reimbursement and will not affect housing costs.

(f) Costs or Savings to State Agencies

The Commission has determined that adoption of Section 749.5 of Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations as an emergency regulation pursuant to FGC section 2084 will likely provide cost savings to state agencies in an undetermined amount. In the absence of the emergency regulation, the Department would have to authorize take of Pacific fisher on a project-by-project basis, which is both time-consuming and costly for both the Department in processing and authorizing such take, as well as to state agencies seeking take authorization. Additionally, reopening existing Timber Harvest Plans (THPs), in addition to participating in review and issuance of new THPs in Pacific fisher range, would pose a significant burden on the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection as well as the Department. Without this emergency regulation, many routine and ongoing otherwise lawful timber operations on land already managed for timber harvest would be delayed or cancelled entirely while awaiting the necessary State CESA authorization. These delays and cancellations would cause great economic harm to persons already lawfully engaged in such activities, their employees, their local communities, and the State of California.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

In view of information currently possessed, no reasonable alternative considered would be more effective in carrying out the purposes for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: October 5, 2009

John Carlson, Jr.
Executive Director